Mars Climate Orbiter

Pound-Force, Newtons and the Systems-Engineering Failure Behind a Famous Number

PIA-INV-010 | Governance | Published 2026-07-06

Key Facts

Cost: US$327.6 million (total mission cost, per NASA)

Date: Launched 11 December 1998; lost 23 September 1999

Category: Governance

Executive Summary

On 23 September 1999, NASA's Mars Climate Orbiter fired its main engine to enter orbit around Mars and was never heard from again. The Mishap Investigation Board's root cause is famous: a ground software file supplied by Lockheed Martin produced thruster impulse data in pound-force seconds, while NASA's navigation software expected newton-seconds - a factor of 4.45 that left the spacecraft roughly 170 kilometres lower than believed at orbit insertion. The mission cost US$327.6 million: US$193.1 million for development, US$91.7 million for launch and US$42.8 million for operations. But the Board's own findings tell a more useful story than the popular 'unit conversion typo': the error survived because verification and validation never covered the ground software, the operations navigation team was under-trained and understaffed, communications between project elements were inadequate, and a contingency trajectory-correction manoeuvre that might have saved the spacecraft was never prepared or executed. This investigation follows the Board's report rather than the folklore.

Executive Lessons

- Verify the Interface, Not Just the Specification

The Software Interface Specification correctly demanded newton-seconds; the delivered file used pound-force seconds, and no process checked compliance. A specification without verification is decoration.

- Anomalies Are Data - Staff Someone to Chase Them

Trajectory discrepancies and unexpectedly frequent thruster firings were observed for months. With effectively one navigator across three missions, nobody had the capacity to reconcile them. Anomalies that go uninvestigated become root causes.

- A Contingency You Never Rehearse Is a Fiction

TCM-5 could have raised the spacecraft to a survivable altitude, but it was never analysed, tested or given commit criteria - so it could not be used. Backup plans require preparation and pre-agreed triggers, or they do not exist.

- Handovers Are Where Systems Die

MCO was the first JPL mission handed from its development team to a multi-mission operations team, and almost nobody moved with it. Knowledge of the spacecraft's quirks stayed behind. Staff handovers with people, not documents alone.

- Never Cut Assurance to Fund Ambition

'Faster, better, cheaper' traded verification depth for mission frequency. Cost pressure is legitimate - but savings must come from scope, not from the processes whose entire job is catching human error.

- Errors Are Normal; Catching Them Is the System

The Board's philosophy: people make errors, so projects need layered processes to catch them. Blaming the units mistake misses the point - eight contributing causes, all organisational, let one error reach Mars.

- Put the Right People in the Review Room

Operations navigation staff attended neither the preliminary nor critical design reviews. Reviews conducted without the people who will operate the system cannot surface operational risk.

Timeline

- 1992: Dan Goldin becomes NASA administrator; 'faster, better, cheaper' philosophy reshapes planetary mission planning

- 1995: Mars Surveyor '98 programme (orbiter plus lander) in development under capped budgets; Lockheed Martin Astronautics builds the spacecraft; JPL manages the mission
- 11 December 1998: Mars Climate Orbiter launches from Cape Canaveral aboard a Delta II 7425
- December 1998 - September 1999: Nine-month cruise: angular momentum desaturation firings occur 10-14x more often than expected; SM_FORCES file feeds pound-force-second impulse data into metric navigation models, silently corrupting the trajectory estimate
- September 1999: Trajectory discrepancies observed; TCM-5 contingency manoeuvre discussed but never analysed, tested or executed
- 23 September 1999: Mars orbit insertion: spacecraft passes behind Mars 49 seconds early at ~57 km altitude instead of ~140-150 km; signal lost at ~09:04:52 UTC; spacecraft lost in the atmosphere
- 29 September 1999: Investigators discover the small-forces ?V values were low by a factor of 4.45 - the pound-force/newtons mismatch
- 15 October 1999: NASA establishes the Mars Climate Orbiter Mishap Investigation Board, chaired by Arthur Stephenson
- 10 November 1999: MIB Phase I report published: root cause = failure to use metric units in ground software; eight contributing causes, predominantly process and governance failures; recommendations issued for Mars Polar Lander
- 3 December 1999: Mars Polar Lander also lost on arrival - a separate failure that ends the Mars Surveyor '98 programme and triggers a wholesale review of NASA's Mars strategy
- 2000 onwards: NASA restructures the Mars programme with restored verification, independent review and realistic resourcing; Mars Odyssey 2001 and subsequent missions succeed

Sources

- Mars Climate Orbiter Mishap Investigation Board, Phase I Report (NASA, 10 November 1999)
- Report on the Loss of the Mars Polar Lander and Deep Space 2 (Mars Program Independent Assessment) (NASA / NTRS, 2000)
- Mars Climate Orbiter Mishap Investigation Board Phase I Report (mirror, University of Glasgow) (NASA MIB (hosted by Chris Johnson, University of Glasgow), 10 November 1999)
- How NASA Lost Its Mars Climate Orbiter From a Metric Error (SimScale (engineering analysis, summarising the MIB findings), 18 December 2017)
- The Loss of the Mars Climate Orbiter - Root Cause Analysis (mission cost breakdown) (ThinkReliability, 18 April 2008)
- Mars Climate Orbiter - Mars Surveyor '98 mission overview (NASA JPL (archived mission site), 1998-1999)